Do the numbers make sense?

1. Westchester County is a suburban area covering 438 square miles immediately north of New York City. A garden magazine claimed that the county is home to 800,000 deer. Do a calculation that shows this claim to be implausible. Explain.
2. Did the horrors of fighting in Vietnam drive many veterans of that war to suicide? A figure of 150,000 suicides among Vietnam veterans in the 20 years following the end of the war has been widely quoted. Explain why this number is not plausible. To help you, here are some facts: about 25,000 American men commit suicide each year; about 3 million men served in Southeast Asia during the Vietnam War; there are roughly 93 million adult men in the US.

Let's do a calculation that should enlighten us. Assuming the numbers given are accurate, find the average number of Vietnam veterans that committed suicide each day. (Figure out how many days are in 20 years, 365 days per year. Then divide the number of suicides by this value.) Does this number seem plausible? Do you think if this was the case, it would go unnoticed.
3. An article in a Midwestern newspaper about flight delays at major airports said

According to a Gannett News Service study of US airlines' performance during the past five months, Chicago's O'Hare Field scheduled 114,370 flights. Nearly 10 percent, 1,136 were canceled.

Check the newspaper's arithmetic. What percent of O'Hare's flights were canceled?
4. Continental Airlines once advertised that it had "decreased lost baggage by $100 \%$ in the past six months". What is wrong with this? (HINT: If you decreased lost baggage by $100 \%$, how much baggage do you currently lose?)
5. The Census Bureau once gave a simple test of literacy in English to a random sample of 3400 people. The New York Times printed some of the questions under the headline " $113 \%$ of Adults in US Failed Literacy Test". Why is this wrong?
6. A 1994 newspaper quotes a sociologist as saying that "there are 248 women aged $40-44$ who have never been married for every 100 men aged $40-44$ who have never been married". This data paints a bleak picture for women finding love after 40. Below is the actual data needed to verify this fact. (source: 1996 Information Please Almanac and US Statistical Abstract) We will use the data to check the sociologist's assertion. The steps to do so are outlined below.

|  | Percent never married (1994) | Total number in US population (1994) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 9.2 | $127,076,000$ |
| Women | 13.0 | $133,265,000$ |

Find the total number of men (in 1994) who have never been married.

Find the total number of women (in 1994) who have never been married.

To find the number of women for every 100 men, set up the following proportion using $x$ to represent the number of women for every 100 men. Then solve for $x$.
$\frac{\text { Number of women for every } 100 \text { men }}{100}=\frac{\text { total women never married }}{\text { total men never married }}$

What did you get to be the true number of women for every 100 men ? (Round to the nearest whole number.) Can you tell where the sociologist possibly made her mistake?

